

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,694	07/13/2001	Narihiro Tahara	1403-0212P	9156	
2292	7590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH &	WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I			
PO BOX 74	7 URCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TABLE CIT	0.1.011, 1.11 220 10 07 17	· .	1714		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
	09/903,694	TAHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
24 C	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	:s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	19 November 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are pending in the same than 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	,	·	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/903,694

Art Unit: 1714

Page 2

Applicant's amendment as submitted on 11/19/2004 incorporated new limitation in the claim, which comprises the amount of the glass fibers. In view of the above following office action is final as necessitated by amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SCHOLL (US 6,025,415) in view of YAGI (JP 2000178379).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of SCHOLL and YAGI from paragraph 3 of the office action mailed on 8/20/2004 is incorporated here by reference.

In the response and argument mailed on 11/19/2004 the applicants addressed following issues:

a) The prior art of SCHOLL and YAGI do not teach the amounts of glass fibers required by the present invention:

With respect to the above argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The prior art of SCHOLL teaches use of oxidic and siliceous fillers. Glass fibers are listed and clearly envisaged in that list. The amount of the oxidic and siliceous fillers is in a range of 0.5-150 pbw of rubber,

Application/Control Number: 09/903,694

Art Unit: 1714

which also apply to the glass fibers of SCHOLL. This further clearly encompasses the amounts in the present invention.

b) The examiner appears to be using hindsight reconstruction to arrive at the instant invention.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). In the instant case, glass fibers are clearly envisaged, listed, disclosed and suggested..... etc. Its use is therefore obvious to those skilled in the art.

c) The prior art of YAGI does not teach or suggest use of glass fibers.

With respect to the above argument, the prior art of YAGI was utilized not for limitation of glass fibers in the tire tread but for the amount of aluminum hydroxide in a tire tread and this is the argument that the applicants should traverse.

d) Combination of references of SCHOLL and YAGI is not proper.

It is well settled that it is prima facie obvious to combine two ingredients, each of which is targeted by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose. *In re Linder* 457 F,2d 506,509, 173 USPQ 356, 359 (CCPA 1972).

Art Unit: 1714

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katarzyna Wyrozebsk Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

January 31, 2005